

Bound for the pressure integral in a toroidal-plasma equilibrium

Zensho Yoshida

Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113, Japan

Yoshikazu Giga

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

(Received 17 May 1993)

A bound for the pressure integral in a toroidal-plasma equilibrium has been studied, invoking an *a priori* estimate for a solution of the Grad-Shafranov equation. Earlier theories had to use approximate equilibrium solutions to calculate the pressure integral (poloidal beta ratio β_p), and, hence, fell short of being rigorous estimates. The present theory considers exact solutions and gives a rigorous bound for β_p .

PACS number(s): 52.30.Bt, 02.30.-f, 52.55.Fa, 52.55.Dy

I. INTRODUCTION

In a magnetohydrodynamic equilibrium of a plasma, the thermal pressure force ∇p is balanced by the magnetic stress $\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$, where \mathbf{B} is the magnetic flux density, $\mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} / \mu_0$ is the current density in the plasma, and μ_0 is the vacuum permeability. The plasma equilibrium equation $\nabla p = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$ thus relates the pressure and the current. In this paper we study the maximum of the poloidal β ratio, which is defined by

$$\beta_p = 8\pi \int_{\Omega} p \, dx / (J^2 \mu_0),$$

where dx is the surface element, the integral is taken over the cross section Ω of the axisymmetric toroidal plasma (tokamak), and J is the toroidal current (total current passing through Ω). Recently high- β_p tokamaks have attracted much interest because they have many advantageous features for a fusion core [1]. Limitation of β_p can occur because of nonexistence of equilibrium solutions, as well as onset of instabilities [2]. The former is called the equilibrium limit, while the latter is the stability limit.

Discussions on the equilibrium limit have a history of confusion. By simplified analytic calculations using approximate equilibrium solutions, one observes a bound $\beta_p \leq O(\epsilon^{-1})$, which is determined by formation of a separatrix [3]; see also [4] and [5]. Here $\epsilon = a/R$, R is the major radius, and a is the minor radius of the toroid. The flux-conserving tokamak (FCT) theory, however, predicted that β_p has no bound determined by a separatrix [6]. The FCT concept has been also applied to numerical analyses, and solutions with relatively high β_p have been obtained [7]. When one attempts to increase β_p further, however, a limitation occurs since the convergence of the scheme becomes difficult in the high- β_p regime. When β_p is increased, the flux surfaces are strongly deformed to shift toward the outer edge of the toroid, and the plasma pressure and the current are concentrated into a crescent shape around the outer edge [7]. It has been an open question whether the limitation of the convergence is due to a technical problem of generating meshes or due to the

“absence” of solutions. The analytic estimate of the FCT theory [6] assumes circular cross-section flux surfaces, so it requires an appropriate correction to account for strong deformations of flux surfaces in high- β_p solutions. This paper addresses to this critical problem, and derives a rigorous bound for β_p .

The mathematical technique used here is the so-called *a priori* estimate. We do not invoke any approximation or expansion for solutions, while we discuss exact solutions of the basic equation. We construct inequalities which every solution should satisfy in an *a priori* sense.

II. THE GRAD-SHAFRANOV EQUATION

A tokamak equilibrium (an axisymmetric plasma equilibrium) is represented by a flux function Ψ which solves the Grad-Shafranov equation (for example, see [4])

$$A\Psi = rP'(\Psi) + r^{-1}F(\Psi)F'(\Psi) \quad (\text{in } \Omega), \quad (1)$$

$$\Psi = 0 \quad (\text{on } \partial\Omega), \quad (2)$$

where $A\Psi = -\partial_r(r^{-1}\partial_r\Psi) - r^{-1}\partial_z^2\Psi$ in the r - z coordinates, and the cross section Ω of the toroid is a simply connected bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The boundary condition (2) implies a perfectly conductive wall. We note that $j = A\Psi/\mu_0$ parallels the toroidal current density, $P = \mu_0 p$, and $F = rB_\varphi$, where B_φ is the toroidal magnetic field. In (1), P and F are composite functions of Ψ . To avoid exceedingly mathematical arguments, we consider smooth functions P and F , to warrant smoothness of Ψ . We have denoted $P'(s) = dP(s)/ds$ for $P(s): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For simplicity, we assume

$$P' \geq 0, \quad P(0) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Therefore, the pressure peaks at the magnetic axis (the peak of Ψ) and vanishes on $\partial\Omega$. We choose the sign of the current J positive, and assume $\Psi \geq 0$ in Ω .

An essential condition for Ψ to be a permissible solution is that Ψ does not have a separatrix in Ω [4,6]. Formally this condition reads as follows. We denote by $D(s)$

the domain on which $\Psi > s$. The boundary of $D(s)$ is denoted by $L(s)$, which is the level set of $\Psi = s$ ($0 < s < \max \Psi$). Then, every $L(s)$ should be a simple closed loop in Ω , and

$$-\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \Psi = |\nabla \Psi| > 0 \quad \text{on every } L(s), \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{n} is the outward normal vector on $L(s)$.

III. A BOUND FOR THE PRESSURE INTEGRAL

Before studying the pressure integral in a tokamak equilibrium, we concisely survey related theories. For a circular cross section straight z-pinch plasma column (cylindrical plasma with \mathbf{j} in the longitudinal direction), we have Bennett's pinch relation $\beta_p \equiv 1$, which holds for every profile of the pressure; see, e.g., [5]. For a general shape of cross section, one finds $\beta_p \leq 1$. This relation is expectable, since any deformation of the cross section leads to a stretch of the poloidal magnetic-field lines resulting in a decrease in the magnetic stress. Although a rigorous proof of $\beta_p \leq 1$ is not found in the literature of plasma physics, the Payne-Rayner inequality [8], which was developed for the fixed membrane problem in solid mechanics, applies to this proof. When a longitudinal magnetic field is imposed on a straight plasma column, a poloidal current yields an additional magnetic stress, and the plasma pressure can be increased infinitely without changing longitudinal current. Therefore, β_p is unbounded in a straight tokamak. A limitation of β_p , however, can arise from the toroidal curvature effect. In this section, we derive a bound for β_p in a toroidal equilibrium with a toroidal (longitudinal) magnetic field applying the method of the Payne-Rayner inequality. A stronger bound results from limiting the rotational transform, which will be discussed in the next section.

Theorem 1. Suppose that P satisfies (3). Let $\Psi (\geq 0)$ be a smooth function in Ω satisfying (2) and (4). Then, one finds

$$\int_{\Omega} P(\Psi) dx \leq \frac{I_m I_p R_1}{4\pi R_0}, \quad (5)$$

where $I_m = \max I(s)$,

$$I(s) = \int_{D(s)} A \Psi dx, \quad I_p = \int_{\Omega} r P'(\Psi) dx,$$

$R_0 = \min r$ and $R_1 = \max r$ in Ω , respectively.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of the Payne-Rayner inequality [8]. We denote

$$\sigma(s) = \int_{D(s)} dx, \quad \vartheta(s) = \int_{D(s)} P'(\Psi) dx.$$

We observe

$$\sigma'(s) = - \int_{L(s)} |\nabla \Psi|^{-1} d\Gamma,$$

where $d\Gamma$ is the line element on $L(s)$. Using (4), integrate (1) over $D(s)$ to obtain

$$\int_{D(s)} A \Psi ds = \int_{L(s)} r^{-1} |\nabla \Psi| d\Gamma \geq R_1^{-1} \int_{L(s)} |\nabla \Psi| d\Gamma. \quad (6)$$

Multiply $-P'\sigma' = -\vartheta'$ [≥ 0 by (3)] on both sides of (6) to

obtain

$$-R_1 \vartheta'(s) I_m \geq -R_1 \vartheta'(s) \int_{D(s)} A \Psi dx \geq 4\pi P'(s) \sigma(s). \quad (7)$$

Here we have used the following isoperimetric inequality:

$$\int_{L(s)} |\nabla \Psi| d\Gamma \int_{L(s)} |\nabla \Psi|^{-1} d\Gamma \geq \left[\int_{L(s)} d\Gamma \right]^2 \geq 4\pi \sigma(s).$$

Integrating (7) with respect to s over $(0, \max \Psi)$ yields

$$4\pi \int_{\Omega} P dx \leq R_1 I_m \vartheta(0) \leq \frac{R_1}{R_0} I_m I_p. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Estimate (5) reads as $\beta_p \leq 2(R_1 I_m I_p)/(R_0 I^2)$, where $I = I(0) = \mu_0 J$. From now on we assume that Ψ solves (1) and (2). If the force-free current $r^{-1} FF'/\mu_0$ is positive, $I_p < I$, and $I_m < I$ by (3), and hence $\beta_p \leq 2R_1/R_0$. To achieve a larger β_p , $r^{-1} FF'/\mu_0$ should be allowed negative. In a high- β_p equilibrium, the toroidal diamagnetism enhances the pressure, and hence $r^{-1} FF'/\mu_0$ tends to be negative. Because $|r^{-1} FF'|$ is large on the inner side, while rP' is large on the outer side, a negative current region can develop on the inner side of the toroid. This is known as the Pfirsch-Schlüter current (for example, see [5]).

IV. FCT EQUILIBRIA

In what follows we derive a bound for the negative current considering an additional restriction on the safety factor $q(\Psi)$. This restriction on q is relevant to the FCT set of equilibria [6,7]. The safety factor is given by

$$q(s) = \frac{F(s)}{2\pi} \int_{L(s)} r^{-1} |\nabla \Psi|^{-1} d\Gamma. \quad (8)$$

We consider a set of equilibria such that

$$0 < q(s) \leq q_m, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \max \Psi. \quad (9)$$

To simplify estimates, we also assume that $F'(s)$ does not change the sign. Since $q > 0$, we observe $F > 0$, so we should assume, for high β_p ,

$$F' \leq 0. \quad (10)$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that P satisfies (3), and that F satisfies (10). Let Ψ be a smooth solution of (1) and (2), which satisfies (4) and (9). Then, one finds an *a priori* bound for β_p :

$$\beta_p(\Psi) \leq 2 \frac{R_1}{R_0} \left[1 + \frac{q_m^2 R_1^3}{2\sigma(0)R_0} \right]^2. \quad (11)$$

Proof. By (8) and (9), we observe

$$-F(s)\sigma'(s) \leq F(s)R_1 \int_{L(s)} r^{-1} |\nabla \Psi|^{-1} d\Gamma \leq 2\pi R_1 q_m.$$

Using this relation and (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -I_f &= - \int_{\Omega} r^{-1} F(\Psi) F'(\Psi) dx \leq R_0^{-1} \int F(s) F'(s) \sigma'(s) ds \\ &\leq -2\pi q_m \frac{R_1}{R_0} \int F'(s) ds \leq 2\pi q_m \frac{R_1}{R_0} F(0). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The isoperimetric inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\sigma(0) &\leq R_1^2 \int_{L(0)} r^{-1} |\nabla\Psi| d\Gamma \int_{L(0)} r^{-1} |\nabla\Psi|^{-1} d\Gamma \\ &= R_1^2 I \int_{L(0)} r^{-1} |\nabla\Psi|^{-1} d\Gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using (8) and (13), we obtain

$$F(0) \leq 2\pi q_m \int_{L(0)} r^{-1} |\nabla\Psi|^{-1} d\Gamma \leq \frac{q_m R_1^2 I}{2\sigma(0)}. \quad (14)$$

Combine (5), (12), (14), $I_p = I - I_f$, and $I_m \leq I - I_f$ to obtain (11) Q.E.D.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In summary we have derived rigorous bounds for the pressure integral in an axisymmetric plasma equilibrium. Theorem 1 is an extension of the Payne-Rayner inequality to the toroidal problem with the additional force-free current term $r^{-1}FF'$. Theorem 2 gives an explicit bound for a specific set of FCT equilibria showing that β_p is bounded by a number that is a function of q_m and the geometry. We note that $\sigma(0)$ represents the area of Ω . Our estimate (11) may be improved by excluding extraordinary configurations. For example, when we assume that the flux-surface average of the current density should be positive, then $I_m = I$, and hence we have

$$\beta_p(\Psi) \leq 2 \frac{R_1}{R_0} \left[1 + \frac{q_m^2 R_1^3}{2\sigma(0) R_0} \right].$$

On the other hand, if we allow F' to change the sign n times ($n \geq 1$), we should modify (12) to a complicated form. A crude estimate is given by multiplying by n the bound of (11). This pushes the bound up, while it is unlikely to have a large n .

Another important question is the relation between β_p

and the shape of an equilibrium solution. Previous theories used an asymptotic parameter ϵ (inverse aspect ratio), indicating that $\epsilon\beta_p \leq O(1)$ even if q is unbounded. The FCT model of Clarke and Sigmar [6] estimates $\epsilon\beta_p \sim (\beta/\epsilon)^{1/3}$, where $\beta = 2\mu_0 \int_{\Omega} p dx / \int_{\Omega} B^2 dx$. In these models, an equilibrium is approximated by a circular level set Ψ , and ϵ is defined by the outermost magnetic surface. As is well known by numerical analyses, a high- β_p equilibrium has a narrow confinement region localized at the outer edge of the toroid. Therefore the definition of ϵ becomes difficult for general high- β_p equilibria. One might expect that β_p is bounded by a number that is a function of only the shape of the boundary $\partial\Omega$, instead of the solution. Cowley *et al.* [9] used asymptotic methods assuming boundary-layer types of equilibria instead of circular level-set equilibria, and interesting estimates of the pressure integral were obtained. Our general result (11) is weaker than those heuristic arguments, while it is on a rigorous mathematical basis. We can define an appropriate scale length of the confinement region (not the boundary of the domain), and define an effective aspect ratio $1/\epsilon^*$ of the solution. Then we obtain an estimate $\epsilon^*\beta_p \leq 2$. Such detailed analyses will be discussed elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was motivated by a suggestion of Professor S. Yoshikawa. Discussions with him and Professor R. M. Kulsrud were useful to improve the earlier result. The authors are grateful to Professor M. Wakatani, Professor N. Inoue, Dr. Y. Ogawa, and Dr. M. Azumi for their discussions. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (No. 02680003 and No. 03680004).

-
- [1] S. A. Sabbagh *et al.*, Phys. Fluids B **3**, 2277 (1991); M. E. Mauel *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **32**, 1468 (1992).
 [2] J. J. Ramos, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 1021 (1990); Phys. Fluids B **3**, 2247 (1991).
 [3] S. Yoshikawa, Phys. Fluids **17**, 178 (1974); **20**, 706 (1977).
 [4] G. Bateman, *MHD Instabilities* (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1978).
 [5] J. P. Friedberg, *Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics* (Plenum, New York, 1987).

- [6] J. F. Clarke and D. J. Sigmar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 70 (1977).
 [7] R. A. Dory and Y.-K.M. Peng, Nucl. Fusion **17**, 21 (1977).
 [8] L. E. Payne and M. E. Rayner, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **23**, 13 (1972); L. E. Payne, R. Sperb, and I. Stakgold, Non-linear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. **1**, 547 (1977).
 [9] S. C. Cowley, P. K. Kaw, R. S. Kelly, and R. M. Kulsrud, Phys. Fluids B **3**, 2066 (1991).